

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

L	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED I	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/693,874	10/23/0) HERNANDEZ		R	
Г	RIGOBERTO HERNANDEZ 5371 W 4 COURT HIALEAH FL 33012		PM82/0905	\neg		EXAMINER
			FM0270300		HARTM ART UNIT	ANN,G PAPER NUMBER
					3673	5
					DATE MAILED:	09/05/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

· .		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/693,874	HERNANDEZ, RIGOBERTO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gary Hartmann	3673
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 ·	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under I		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa i	miner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the control of the certification of the certificati	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •	
Attachment(s)	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/693,874

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1-6 are objected to because of the following informalities: the third and fourth open ends referred to in claim 1 should be renamed --first-- and --second--, respectively, since the elongated posts has only two ends and the ends are already distinct from the ends of the housing. Additionally, the preamble of claims 2-6 recite the term "removably." This term should be --removable--. The term "cable means" in line 2 of claim 3 is improper and should be renamed --cable--; i.e., "means" should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boots et al. (U.S. Patent 3,698,135) in view of Higginson (U.S. Patent 5,192,159). Boots et al. disclose a removable security post assembly having an anchorage assembly positioned below ground and including a housing (10) having first and second ends. The first end is an opening adjacent the ground (see Figure 1). Because the housing is firmly embedded in the ground, the recitation of anchorage means is met. There is an elongated post member (30) removably and partially received within the housing means. The post member has opened ends and a lateral opening (not

Application/Control Number: 09/693,874

Art Unit: 3673

labeled, see Figures 1 and 3). There is a locking assembly including a lock (50, 60). The lateral opening is aligned with a horizontal opening in the housing, but the opening in the housing does not project outwardly from the housing. Higginson teaches a latch (23) which extends beyond a housing and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the deeper latching means as taught by Higginson with the apparatus of Boots et al. in order to obtain a lock more resistant to shear (i.e., upward) forces. Given the combination of Higginson with Boots et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used an outwardly extending bay in order to accommodate the longer latch.

The lock is rigidly mounted to second housing means (Figure 1, for example).

There is a cable (75) extending and holding a latching member (43).

The latching means is inserted through the lateral opening.

There are drainage means (15).

There are means for covering the opening (14).

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art included shows retractable, locking posts.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9am-6pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/693,874

Art Unit: 3673

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Gary Hartmann Examiner

Art Unit 3673

gh

September 4, 2001